1		
1 2 3 4 5	GUIDO SAVERI (22349) R. ALEXANDER SAVERI (173102) GEOFFREY C. RUSHING (126910) CADIO ZIRPOLI (179108) SAVERI & SAVERI INC. 111 Pine Street, Suite 1700 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 217-6810 Facsimile: (415) 217-6813 guido@saveri.com rick@saveri.com	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	STEVE W. BERMAN (pro hoc vice) ANTHONY D. SHAPIRO (pro hoc vice) CRAIG R. SPIEGEL (122000) HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LL 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98010 Telephone: (206) 623-7292 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594 FRED TAYLOR ISQUITH (pro hoc vice) MARY JANE FAIT (pro hoc vice) WOLF, HALDENSTEIN, ADLER, FREEM 270 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10016 Telephone: (212) 545-4600 Facsimile: (212) 545-4653	
16	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs	
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18		
19	IN RE DYNAMIC RANDOM ACCESS	MDL Docket No. M-02-1486-PJH
20	MEMORY (DRAM) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Date: April 18, 2007
21		Time: 9:00 a.m. Judge: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton
22	This Document Relates To:	Courtroom: 3
23	All Direct Purchaser Actions	
24		
25	FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AS TO DEFENDANTS	
26	ELPIDA MEMORY, INC. AND ELPIDA MEMORY (USA) INC.	
	ELI IDA HIEMORI, INCIANO ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ	
27		
28		

This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any cause why

12 13

10

11

14 15

though fully set forth in this judgment.

16

17 18

19

21

22 23

24 25

26

merits as to the parties to the Agreement. Good cause appearing therefor, it is: ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT: The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, and all actions 1. within this litigation and over the parties to the Agreement, including all members of the Class and the Defendants. The definitions of terms set forth in the Agreement are incorporated hereby as 2.

- The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlement set forth in the 3. Agreement and finds that said settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate to the Class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g), Class Counsel, previously appointed by the Court 4. (Saveri & Saveri Inc., Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP, Wolf, Haldenstein, Adler, Freeman & Herz), are appointed as counsel for the Class. These firms have, and will fairly and competently represent the interests of the Class.
- The persons/entities identified on Exhibit 5 to the Declaration of Charlene Young 5. filed on October 16, 2006 have timely and validly requested exclusion from the Class and, therefore, are excluded. Such persons/entities are not included in or bound by this final judgment. Such persons/entities are not entitled to any recovery for the settlement proceeds obtained through this settlement.

27

6

7

10 11

13 14

12

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

- This Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the Action in favor of 6. each and all of the Defendants, with each party to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.
- All persons and entities who are Releasors are hereby barred and enjoined from 7. commencing, prosecuting or continuing, either directly or indirectly, against the Elpida Releasees, in this or any other jurisdiction, any and all claims, causes of action or lawsuits, which they had, have, or in the future may have, arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.
- The Elpida Releasees are hereby and forever released and discharged with respect 8. to any and all claims or causes of action which the Releasors had or have arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.
- The notice given to the Class of the settlement set forth in the Agreement and the 9. other matters set forth herein was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members of the Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts. Said notice provided due and adequate notice of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed settlement set forth in the Agreement, to all persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rules 23(c)(2) and 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the requirements of due process.
 - There are no objections to the settlement. 10.
- Without affecting the finality of this judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains 11. continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this settlement and any distribution to Class Members pursuant to further orders of this Court; (b) disposition of the Settlement Funds; (c) hearing and determining applications by the Plaintiffs for attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, including expert fees and costs, and interest; (d) the Action until the final judgment contemplated hereby has become effective and each and every act agreed to be performed by the parties all have been performed pursuant to the Agreement; (e) hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of allocation of settlement proceeds; and (f) all parties to the Action and Releasors for the purpose of enforcing and administering the Agreement and the mutual releases and other documents contemplated by, or executed in connection with the Agreement.

- 12. In the event that the settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, then the judgment shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void and the parties shall be returned to their respective positions *ex ante*.
- 13. The Court finds, pursuant to Rules 54(a) and (b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that this final judgment should be entered and further finds that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of this judgment, as a final judgment, as to the parties to the Agreement. Accordingly, the Clerk is hereby directed to enter judgment forthwith.

Dated: April 18, 2007

